G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L. R. 88 of 92

1895-96

~~191~~ .

(Sep. Rev)

File No. 109

Serial Nos. 1-23

Later references.

(38)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Remarked 8/5

Serial Nos. 1 — 23

Progress ~~Part~~ B for June 03

SUBJECT.

Mukundevapur Jahagirdar's Claim
to the Lord's Revenue

Indexed 98

1

Please see Asstt Secy's query on the telegram below.

---

(1) The General question of Inamdars right to Toddy Revenue has not as yet been disposed of

(2) no subsequent order has been passed

From the statement of advances to Inamdars on account of Toddy Revenue, received from the Excise Commr with his letter no 2696/257 of 18/12/95, it will be seen that a sum of Rs 1,149-6-0 has been ~~drawn~~ paid to the Inamdar in question on account of Toddy Revenue of his Inam villages for the years 1892-93 & 1893-94

The petitioner in his telegram ~~requested~~ only prays for one months time to pay up the arrears of local cess due from him.

From the statement of advances made for 1894-95, no amount seems to have been paid to the Inamdar for that year.

Submitted

4/5/97.

Put up

T. No 1235 & 12/8/93 Put up.

Pet. or Res

What is their amount?
Is there any petition or
telegram pending
Response ?

2/5/97

3/5/97

Refs are in the petn Branch
Office 3/5 The transfer to Pet or Res

3/5/97

4/5/97

Retransferred to the Res
(Excuse)
Branch Office Refs

The Stable Loan Stand pending
disposal of the general question
(1) Has it been disposed of ?
2/6 Has any subsequent order been passed in Exercise of Refs

2/5/97

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

Monthly Number.

To (Office)

From (Office)

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.

To (Person)

From (Person)

32 Word Form.

F. C 1.

Recd. from

Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to

At Hrs. Mts. by

LN.

Telegraph Master.

C & P—No 490 T—18 196—1600000

FOOTSCAMUND 30-5-97

F. N.
No. 0036

Local No. 218
(of R. P. Telegram.)

Government Telegraph Department.

Name and Date Stamp of Booking Office.

Pass for a Reply Paid Telegram.

The sum of Rupees (in words) [illegible]
Annas [illegible]
has been deposited for a reply to this telegram. The reply may be sent from *any* Telegraph Office at any time within 30 days of this date, *provided this authority be attached to the telegram form.* This Pass may also be used for any Inland telegram sent by any person within the above period, whether it be a reply to the original telegram or not.

PARTICULARS OF THE TELEGRAM.		
I. Office at which DEPOSIT was made.		
[illegible]		
II. Date and Time of Telegram.		
[illegible]	2	10
III. Name of Addressee.		
[illegible]		

Should the cost of the reply exceed the sum deposited, the difference must be paid by the sender of the reply. Should the cost of the reply be less than the amount deposited, no refund will be given for the amount not availed of; but if the Pass be not used, the amount which has been deposited will be refunded upon application to the Check Office, Calcutta, if made within 30 days of date of issue. The application must be supported by this Pass, without which no refund will be granted.

Name and Date Stamp of Office of Issue.

OOTACAMUND 30 5 9

[illegible]

Signature of Telegraph Officer in Charge.

Note.—In cases where this Pass is for a reply to a foreign telegram, see Service Regulations printed on the back of this form.

N-B

Office note attached to this was removed and detached to A.R. file No. ——

In May 1892, Balaji Rao, son of Ramakao, Jahagirdar of Mahadevpur, represented to the Dy: Comr: Inpore, that in the family division of property between his father, bother & himself, the Mahadev apur Jahagir having fallen to his share, the Abkari Revenue of his villages for the period between 1895 & 1901 held in deposit by Govt: might be conceded to him.

In the meantime, Padmaraj Pandit to whom the 5 yajaman Vrittis had been mortgaged, claimed to himself the Abkari Revenue thereof, whilst the other Vrithidars likewise represented to the Dy: Comr: that no amount should be paid to the Petr: & his father until the appointment of another manager as otherwise their shares would not be paid to them. On personal Enquiry also, the Dy: Comr: found that these representations were true. For these reasons, the Dy: Comr: gave an endorsement to the Petr: to the effect that no payment could be made to him unless the Petr: & the other Vrithidars get their disputes settled amicably in accordance with the Govt: Rs: of April 1897.

(Ills 17. please vide the Endorsement enclosed)

Balaji Rao now presents an appeal

& 15.7.12.

Petr: to Govt: on the following grounds: ——

(1) the possession of the mortgaged property having been conceded to the Mortgagee

Jan. 1900, he was not entitled to the Revenues for the previous years.

(2) The mortgagee & the other Vrittidars could not keep direct correspondence with the Revenue authorities in any case & the Dy: Com[illegible] were wrong in admitting their Complaints & passing orders thereon.

(3) Under the Govt: Res: of 1897, Govt: have ordered that the Jahagirdar could not be deprived of his management. The right of administration & management of the villages, temples & Vrittis rest with the pet[illegible] by right of birth & inheritance if this right the Pet[illegible] could not be divested.

(4) The allegations of their creditors were false, there being no instances in which their dues are withheld. Both they & the mortgagee are entitled only to the moneys due to them and not to the management or administration of the Jahagir.

For these reasons, he prays that the amount withheld by the Dy: Com[illegible] may be ordered to be paid to him.

v Akbari Revenue

Submitted. Asst Secretary.
C.S. 24/7/02

Mrs Relates to
Assignment v Equit
Rev — Mem/Rev
Question be dealt with
in the Exam Bk
25/9/02

But in the meantime upon the repeated petitions from the Inamdar ie Balaji Rao praying for orders to stay up to him the Toddy revenue of this village the Excise Commr reported was called for. With reference to this, the Excise Commr forwarded a copy of a letter from the Dy Commr Sangore District, wherein it was stated that the Jahageerdar had been informed to make arrangements to receive his dues

Sol 24.
No 3996 dy 29/11/99
& 119

29
No 2303 dy 11/10/00
160

A draft is submitted for approval or order forwarding the present appeal petn to the Commr for report.

Submitted to Dept
29.9.02

Issue the draft
29/9/02

Office note Continued

The documents accompanying the petition no 217 dt 27/6/01 & E.7/12.01 dt 27/6/01 viz. with reference to which the Excise Commr forwards a copy of the Mysore Govt letter no 105 dt 3/2/03 to the effect that Mahadevappa Jahagirdar consists of 28 villages of which 5 belong to the petitioner Balaji Rama Rao as Vajamane villages; that there were several complaints against him from the other villagers & one Padmanaj Pandit to whom the Vajamane villages have been mortgaged; that he (Peter) failed to disprove the allegations made against him and that the villagers having subsequently appointed the said Padmanaj Pandit as the agent of the Jahagirdar &

affidd for the todor
revenue - payment
was made to him -

An endorsement intimating
to the petr the fact & that
nothing can be done now
is sent ~~to Secretary~~
for approval

21/3/03

21.3.03.

23/3

Mr Dewan
through the 2nd
Councillor

24/3/03

Payment having been
made, this is the only order
that seems possible - 4
26/3/03

46x.91
24-3-03.

9404
28.3.03.

27/3/03

Dewan remarks on the affd Endorsement

"The Petr contests the right of
Padmanabha Pandit to receive
the amount as Agent, & tries
to make out that as mortgagee
his right is very limited. Some
enquiry & report on these
points seems desirable - Who
affd Padmanabha Pandit as
Agent? If the Petr disowns

[illegible] the question [illegible] to be enquired into & certified on.
I see no information on this point in this record."

Sdg. P. N. K.
29. 3. 03.

H. C.
Sdg. 14.V.M.
1/4.

Sdg L.A.
1/4 Draft submitted

Agree
8/4/03.

EX. 106
8 4 03.

I have no objection to the Dewan's order being passed though I myself think that it is needless to raise the question after payment has been made to a re-barred agent of the Vakkil's — & after the result of the enquiry will not — 11/4/03
necessitate a second pay-
-ment — although I am after careful consideration that I should not have recorded my first order, I defer to the Dewan's view.

11/4/03

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. Exp. Commr's letter no 4588 dy 7.2.03 / 256, 7/11

Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of Hunasanahalli Sarva Inam village, T. Narsipur Taluk

Note. The village contains only 2 toddy shops. The title deed conveys toddy revenue & the Inam Settlement recognises it. The inamdar states he has been enjoying this revenue ever since the village was alienated but no proof of it has been produced. Nor has the investigating officer ascertained the truth of this statement. The amount awarded for the kadigana has not been stated in the Calendar. G.O. no 638-47 dy 28/8/03 in Rd. 1166-92 directing instructions in the matter insists upon the

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

proper amount has not been accounted & recorded in the Calendar.

But the Excise Comm has expressed some difficulty in doing this in regard to the Cases of the Mysore Distillers

(before were sent to the Secretary on 11/6/03 & orders are awaited.

~~Cases from Mysore to be selected~~
~~by Excise Commr to the Treasurer~~
~~Cases of Madras Distillers accepted~~
~~for removal to the Treasury~~
~~Godown &c~~

A draft is however sent Calling for the above info^n -

Sent to Secy 11/6/03

Secy 7.6.03

The record was sent by the Comptroller along with Madras Excise Classified Statement for 89-05 (Nos 5 & 09-10)

This may be filed

Secy 31/8/09

10

Endorsement

With reference to his petition presd. vide 166/Q.80 dd 27/3/02 & 168/Q.95 dd 24/10/02 & appealing against the order of the Dr Collr. Dr. refusing his Petr, declining to pay to him the amount of Lodgy revenue of the Mahadevapuram inam village of the Seimkapatam Taluk, held in deposit, until he published his dispute with the Vrithidars as directed in Govt. Proc. No 9486/R3136 dd 27/4/97,

Orders

The Petr contests the right of Sadanandji Pandit to receive the amount as Agent, & urges moreover that as mortgagee his right is superior. Some enquiry seems desirable — Who appd Sadanandji Pandit as Agent? If the Petr discovers him the former deserves to be enquired into & reported on. There is no information on this point in this record.

29.III.03

D.C. Ivan 1/4

Worth considered.

1/4/03

Issue 3/4/03

Balaji Rao, Jah-
girdar is informed
that, as ~~it is~~
~~reported that~~
he (~~reported~~) failed to disprove
the allegations made
~~by the~~ against
him by the Vakkildars,
~~& that~~ the amount
held in deposit
~~was paid already~~ has been
paid to Paramaya
Pandit, who had
been appointed
as the agent of the
Jahgirdar at the
instance of the
Vakkildars ~~and~~ & that
Cannot now be done
in the matter
~~now~~

Bell

21/3/05

Secy.

Paramaya Pandit
the agent has
been paid the
amount in
question &
has now
left the
Nilgiris
& is
residing
elsewhere.

Rao

Copy forwarded

12

to the Exec Council

in reference, with the

to his letter no 4599

262

of 9/11.2.03

B.C.

23/3

Secretary

Bloe

21.2.03

To

The Revenue Commr
in my zone

No

Dr

Fair copy &
issue after submission
& 2nd Councillor -

8/4/43

Ex-106
8-4-43.

His no. 4599 of 2602
9/11: Relating to a letter
Copy of a letter from
the Collector, Mysore
District, reporting the
circumstances under
which no taccavy advance
was advanced to
Dr. Baleji Rao, Jahgirdar
of Mahadevapura,
Seringapatam Taluk

With reference
to the above has
the honor to observe
that the Petitioner
Baleji Rao contests
the right of Padma-

grace Pandit to receive the amounts as Agent and tries to make out that as mortgagee, his right is very limited. Some enquiry and report on these points seem desirable. It is not quite clear who appointed Padmanabha Pandit as Agent. If the Petitioners disowns him, the question deserves to be enquired into & reported upon.

B.C.

Dy Comrs ordered 3/4/03

Secretary

It is not quite clear who appointed P. Pandit as agent & whether his appt received sanction of the Dy Cr. As the Petr does not repudiate the agent's title it is desirable that some inquiry be made in the matter. The Dy Cr may be asked to investigate & report upon the matter early.

True 3/4/03.

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 908

Name of Petitioner: M. Ramakow - Jagirdar of Maliadevapur

Village.

Taluk. Jork

District. Nizpur

Date of Arzi. 25-8-95

Date of Receipt. 27-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that about 1700 Rs. are due to him from Govt. on account of the Zoodi revenue of his Jagir village - that in spite of this the Taluk authorities wish him by threatening to take coercive measures for the recovery of the local cesses Rs. 500 due and odd due to him - and that the Dy. Comr. is deaf to his representation - Prays that Early Orders may be given to set off the local cess due by him against the arrears of Zoodi revenue due to him and to pay him the balance of Rs. 1200

28/8

RK

Undisputed -
Dr Comr's order not produced -

Subd. to the Rev. Secretary
Secy. says the present Dy. Comr. lends a deaf ear to his representations -

The question of the Zemindari Zoodi revenue is still under consideration The Petr. asks that it may be set off against the local cess due by him -

Subd
30-8-95

Dy. Comr. considered in an observation that any question of payment will be pending it is necessary that it be settled promptly

Subd
9/9/95

Dy. Comr's explanation regarding letter dtd. 2-9-95 -

Subd
2-9-95

Sir, There are no cases of the nature Dr. refer to pending in this office -

Subd
5-9-95

3/9

Pet: 569 N

Draft

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 408

dated 25-8-95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Mr M. Rama Rau, Jagirdar of Mahadevapur, and residing in the Fort of the Mysore City, ~~comp~~ complaining that while Rs 1700 are due to him from Govt on account of toddy revenue of his Jodi village, the taluk authorities are threatening him with coercive process ~~and~~ for the recovery of the local cess of Rs 500 due from him, and requesting that the local cess may be deducted from the amount due to him & the remainder ordered to be paid,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ ~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, ~~with~~ for disposal; with the observation that if any question of payment to the Jagirdar is pending it is desirable that it should be settled promptly

By order,

Draft

Bangalore, 4/7 Sept 1895

for Revenue Secretary.

1-9-95

5073

1895

No. 1132 SC(3)

Name of Petitioner Rama Row ~~Jahagirdar~~

Village, Mahadevapura ~~Fort, Mysore~~

Taluk, Mysore

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 7-9-95

Date of Receipt, 11-9-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 6-

ABSTRACT.

Forwarding certain enclosures regarding the Abkari Revenue of his Jahgire villages and the Local Cess due by him to Govt and praying that ~~Govt~~ immediate orders may be issued to the Dy Comr, Mysore District to stop the attachment and sale of his properties for Local Cess due.

908 9-95-96 bdc.

Refer pl.
12/9.

Subd to the Rev. Secretary with refce - Sale of RTs villages in the T. Narsipur Taluk is fixed for the 16th October

12/9

Issue details

The Dy Comr under orders dtd 30 Aug must have called some correspondence on the subject of this Jahagirdar's claim. Subsist reports very soon

20/9/95

M.S

Recd 21/9/95

Recd 22/9/95

Recd 23/9/95

Rdn 12/9

13/9 The Dy Comrs order is as follows:-

The toddy bail of Inam villages is sold by Govt since 1892-93 and there

is no objection to the amount realised from that year being intimated to the Jahgirdar, but the villages comprised in the Jahagir are not specified in his Sadr.

There was an order of Govt. for payment to Inamdars of the realisations from Inam villages for 1892-93 and 1893-94. Accordingly the sums relating to your village were paid to you. As there has been no order of Govt. for payment of subsequent realisations, it is not possible to pay the same.

The notice issued by the Amildar in respect of arrears due for your village is in accordance with law.

"Co" No: 85

To
R.I. (with 6 enclosures)
Mail

Pet Hdr 11/9/95

1432

Due 6 —

1432 R
11—9—95

8L (3)

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Rev & Excise Dept

Respected Sir

In continuation of
* No. 75 — my petition #. 726/8/95 asking
the arrears due to me
on acct of abkari revenue
of my villages (Jaghire
of Mahadevpur & three
villages) and for a set off
of the local cess dues out
of the said arrears, I res-
-pectfully beg to forward
herewith ~~Original~~ Endorse-
-ment No 138 dt 4—9—95 (with
* file) from the Deputy Commr
Mysore District declining
to interfere and concur
-ring the procedure of the
Taluq officials regardless
of all precedents and re-
-cords. Under these circum-
-stances I respectfully beg

* To be kindly returned

that immediate orders
may kindly be issued
to the day: Collector to stop
the attachment & sale of
my properties for Local
Cess dues. I beg to observe
that it is highly unjust
and arbitrary to proceed
against me in the face
of my just protests.
A kind & patient perusal
of my previous petition
quoted above is anxiously
solicited. Begging the
pardon for the liberty
and awaiting early
orders.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most Obt. Servant
[illegible]
Jagbirsingh
Mahadevappa
Inamdar

7th Sept.
1895
Miraj

No: 138

Dy Commissioner's

Ex/1892-93 dated 18.9.93 ...

2. Ex/1892-93 dt. 18.9.93 ...

3. ... 24-9-1895

Dy Commissioner

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ವಿನಂತಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದಾದ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ.

ಕಳೆದ ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಳೆಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿ ಬರಗಾಲದ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಕೃಷಿಯನ್ನೇ ನಂಬಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಲಕ್ಷಾಂತರ ಕುಟುಂಬಗಳು ಸಂಕಷ್ಟಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಲುಕಿವೆ. ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರಿಗೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಒಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಈ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಎದುರಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಹಾಯವಾಗಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

1. ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರನ್ನು ಮಿತವಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಬೇಕು.
2. ಕೆರೆ, ಬಾವಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇಂಗುಗುಂಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಬೇಕು.
3. ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿಯಬಾರದು, ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಗಿಡಗಳನ್ನು ನೆಡಬೇಕು.
4. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹನಿ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಳವಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಈ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಿದರೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರಗಾಲದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವನ್ನು ಕಡಿಮೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಬಹುದು.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

[illegible]

Rd Secy

Pleas look into the case and let me know how it stands. If money is due to him from Government it would be well to postpone the Sale. The Dy Comr may be written to on the subject.

30/9/95 (Sd) A.R.

I have issued orders directing the stay of sale

File (Sd) C.S.
8/10/95

Subt with the Dy Com 2 upls
& 450 of 11-10-95.

(4) 1895

No. 70/1377

Name of Petitioner, M Rama Rao

Village, Jahagirdar of Mahadevapur.

Taluk, Fort, Mysore.

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 26-9-95

Date of Receipt, 12-10-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure,

Remmder to petn 9/11/95

ABSTRACT.

Praying that the local cess dues of his Inam village may be deducted out of the Abkari money due to him by Govt. and that orders may be issued to the Dy Commr Mysore Distt, directing the postponement of the Sale of his Inam village till the disposal of his case.

Rlm
15/10.

The Secretary
to The Govt of Mysore
Revenue Secre-
tariat

C. Register 70
10-10-95
Mysore

Recd 11/10/95
Rep: before
noon tomorrow
Secy remarks
11/10 BK

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. No. 1377 R
DATE 10-10-95

Respected Sir

I the Undersigned
Ramdas Jagirdar
dar of Mahadevapur
Post Mysore respectfu
beg to represent the
following

I submitted a petit
tion to the Govt on
14-9-95 praying that
the Local cess dues
due to Govt for my
Jaghir. Villages may
kindly be deducted
from the abkari
money due to me

Ord in the petition

" orders regarding the payment of toddy revenue will be passed shortly. In the meantime the Deputy Commr. should be asked to stay the sale herein reported to

Ind. C. S
6.10.95

1895 S(15)

Rev. No. 27/1314

Name of Petitioner, M. Ramarao

Village, Nipani

Taluk,

District;

Date of Arzi, 5th October 1895

Date of Receipt, do

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

The petitioner states that thousand and odd rupees are due to him from Govt. on account of Abkari revenue of his ~~paragana~~ Mahadeva Rira jaghir Villages, that though he presented several petitions praying for the grant of the said amount it has not yet been granted.

While matters stand thus his images have been attached and advertized for sale by public auction on the 10th instant on account of the Local Cess due by Govt. amounting to about Rs 4 had also present

petition to Govt which
was referred to the Deputy
Commr for disposal.

He prays that orders
may be passed for adjust
ment of the amount by
way of advance or to grant
time till the settlement
of the abkari ~~protection~~
claim and to stop the
sale which is to take place
on the 10th instant

th

6 Rep. No. 27
7-10-1895
Mysore

His Excellency
Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer
K.C.S.I.
Dewan of Mysore
Mysore.—

May it please Your
Gracious Excellency
I the Undersigned
M. Ramrao Jaghire
-dar of Mahadevapur
most respectfully beg
to represent as follows:

I submitted several
petitions both to the Govt.
and to the Dy: Commr.
of Mysore praying the
Abkari Revenue due
to one of my Jaghire
Villages and which
has been in arrears
for the last three years

and no settlement of
any kind has yet
been made. He said
arrears amount to
nearly 1000 odd rupees.
When the matter stands
thus my Villages have
been attached & set down
for sale by public auction
on the 10th instant for
the Local Cess dues
due to Govt to the ex-
tent of Rs 400 odd.
I humbly represented
to the Dy. Commr
that the said 400 odd
rupees may be deducted
from the said 1000 Rs arrears
due to me and thus
an adjustment may
be made but my prayers
are not complied with.
I moreover pointed
out the precedent that
last year such an
adjustment was made
by way of an advance

out of my above dues
but all my representations
have become fruitless.
A reference was also
made to the Govt by
means of a petition wh
was referred to the Dy
Commr for disposal
but the latter officer
has again referred the matter
to Govt asking it to
enlighten him as to
how he should dispose
of the matter but mean-
while I am subjected
to a series of indignities
by attachment sale
by public auction and
by publication in the
Gazette of such sale of
my 3 above Villages
on the 10th instant. I
respectfully beg to observe
that it is a justifying
on the part of Govt
to subject me to the
above said various
indignities & dishonor
for a paltry sum of

Rs 400 odd rupees? While
any dues against Govt.
stand at more than
a thousand rupees. Under
these circumstances
I respectfully pray that
~~either~~ orders may either be
passed to an adjustment
by way of an advance
or to grant time till
the settlement of the abkari
affair and to stop the
sale or to settle the ~~ab~~
moved abkari matter
finally. As the sale is
put down on the 10th
instant I beg that
immediate orders may
be passed and thereby
release petitioner from
disgrace. Begging par-
-don for the liberty
& with profound respect
I beg to remain
Your Excy's most Obt
& humble Servant

W. M. K.

5th Sept.
1896
Mysore

Jaghirdar
of
Nadavadi

Pet
CMC 10

5(6)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. C. 27
dated 5th Oct. 1895 received at the 1314.
Dewan's Office from M. Ramakrishnaiah Jaghirdar of Mahadevpura complaining that his jaghir villages have been advertized for sale on the 10th inst. on account of arrears of local cess although on the other hand a large sum of money is still due to him from Govt. on account of abkary revenue

~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~

of his villages, is forwarded to the Deputy Comm. of Mysore - ~~for report~~.

2 Orders regarding the payment of toddy revenue will be passed shortly. In the meantime the Deputy Comm. is requested to stay the sale referred to in the petition

3 The petition should be returned -

By order,

draft

BANGALORE, Mysore, Oct. 1895

Revenue Secretary.

7/10

7.10

Pet 27

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To Revenue Government
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 11th
Despatched } October 1895
Received

Enclosures No. Petition No. 27

No. 450

States that orders have been issued to stay the sale of the Mahadevapur Jaghir villages for arrears of local cess due thereon.

Recd. 13.10.95
Letter. Refer

14/10

Sub to the Rev. Secy, with reference to the order recorded on the Petition.

22/10

22-10-95

22/10

No. 450

Enclosures No. Petition No. 27.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 11th October 1895.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Revenue Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

SIR.

I have the honor to state that, as directed in para 2 of your official memorandum No. Jet. C. No. 10 dated the 7th October 1895, orders have been issued to the Amildar of the Seringapatam taluk to stay the sale of the Mahadévapur Jaghir villages for arrears of local cess due thereon.

2. The petition of the Jaghirdar received with the memorandum under reply is herewith returned as required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obdt. servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Compd.

SC 9
1899

No. 1862

Name of Petitioner M. Balaji Rao
Jahgirdar of

Village Mahadevapur

Taluk Srirangapatam

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 22-5-99

Date of Receipt 26-5-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

States that the abkari amon
of Mahadevapura village has
not been given to him
since 1894 nor has he
been furnished with any
accounts shewing the coll
ection in each year; that
on applying to the Amild
& the Sub-Dy. Officer, they
informed him that there
were no accounts wha
-so-ever; that the Dy
Commr. has not given

2/Two Rs stamps, affixed & pcss. [illegible]

2/ The Def. Nos. ordered to be obtained & produced.

Pcss. to be returned for reasons (2)

Mdl
31.5.99
Enclosure petn
CB
31/5/99
3-6-99
Rcd 21/May

any reply to his 2 or 3 petns
on the same subject; that
he wants to include the
amount in the jamabandi
of his village.

Prays that he may be
given the abkari amounts
together with its accounts
& also the amount collected
from Sayer in his village

SM
24/5/99.

Rd - 30/5/99

Retee

22.7.99

1862 of 98 Abstract put up.

Read

29/7/99

The copy of Depn/omis ndr produced is unauthenticated Depn to be returned for this reason

Mdy

29-7-99

Endorsement

Dy. 29-7-99

[illegible]

31-7-99

S C O

D ..1899

25

No. 195

Name of Petitioner Balaya Rao

Village Mahadevapura Jaghir

Taluk ~~Seringapatam~~ N

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 22-7-99

Date of Receipt 24-7-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT

With reference to his office Report No 155, in respect of the Abkari amount due to him for the Mahadeva-pura Jaghir, begs to submit an unauthenticated copy of Govt Order No 130 dated 29.4.99 granted to him by the Excise Comr, and prays for issue of orders to the Excise Comr to furnish him with the details of collection for the Jaghir of the Abkari amount, from the Year 1892 to 93, and the subsequent years, and to disburse to him the amount still due

The work is as follows-

The arrears in collection of the Mahadepura and Hunanahalli villages for the years 1892-93 to 94-95, amounting to Rs 948-6-0 & the 6th December 1894 Rs 1149-6-9 have been disbursed to ryots. Rs 4 on account received overpayment; no arrears are due by ryots nothing is to be collected disbursed on those years. Rs 150/- has been realised for the year 95-96. Rs 127/- is due for the year 1896-97 is yet to be recovered. The realisations from the years 1895-96 cannot be disbursed without the express orders of Govt; Besides the account with ryots also to be adjusted.

27.7.99

Refer

17.11.99

195 of 99 Refers put up.

DwR

18/11/99

Transferred to the Excise Branch

by

Asst Secretary

20-11-99

8(11)

1899

23

No. 8994 / 125

Name of Petitioner Balajee Rao

Jahagirdar

Village Mahadevapur

Taluk Sosingapalam

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 24—10—99

Date of Receipt 31—10—99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT

I As per endt No 19 dated 29-7-99, beg to submit herewith an authenticated copy of the endt dated 29.4.97 issued to him by the Dy Comr and states that the original endt issued to him by the Dy Comr, has been seized by him to the civil court.

States also, that an amount of Rs 5112-8-3 is due to him from the Govt in respect of the fine years Shendi revenue realised from his jaghir village from 1894-95 & and the balance due for the years

1892-93 and 93-94; that the statement of the Dy Comr made in the concluding portion of the audit that pets has been paid more than what was due to him is therefore untrue; that the authorities are taking measures to recover from the pets Rs 114-15-9 due to govt on account of local fund; and prays that the records of the case may be sent for from the Dy Comr and orders issued to disburse to the pets the amount due to him from Govt on account of the Shendi revenue due in respect of his jaghir villages

16/11/99

Local [illegible]

(24)

Official Memorandum.

No 3996 8/29/11/99

Sm 119 29

Petition Regd no 894

& 24th October 199 from Balaje Rw. Jahagirdar of Mahadevapur, Seringapatam Taluk, complaining of the non-payment of the toddy revenue of his Inam village, is forwarded to the Excise Commr for report.

"B O"

28-11-99

for Secretary

KG

27/11/99

No. 1257/64

Excise Commissioner's office,
Bangalore, dated 20th August 1900.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Reminder Received.

In reply to your docket No: 1542/Ex: 83, dated 17th instant, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, has been requested to report immediately on the petition of Balaji Row, Jahagirdar of Mahadeshpur, Seringapatam taluk, complaining of the non-payment of the Toddy Revenue of his Inam villages.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

1517

Excise]

Ex. 64

189

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 20th August 1900.

Despatched 21

Received

General No. 1257

No. 64

Enclosure No.

In reply to the Secretary's docket No. 1542/Ex: 83, dated 17th instant, SUBJECT. states that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District has been requested to report immediately on the petition of Balaji Rao, Jahagirdar of Mahadaveshwar, Seringapatam Taluk, complaining of the non-payment of the Toddy Revenue of his Inam village.

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Subd. The report will be awaited & recommended if the report promised is not received in a fortnight. If approved

K.S. 23/8/00.

No. 2303/110 Ene

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, dated 11th October 1900.

SC (13/14)

From,

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

* Reminders abstracted

In reply to your official memo No. 2183*/Ex 119, dated 5th ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No. 93, dated 27th idem, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting that he has informed the Jahagirdars of Mahadevapura, Seringapatam taluk, to make arrangements to receive his dues on account of his toddy revenue.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Excise] Dis. No. 2699
OCT 13
189
DEWAN'S

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
General & Revenue Dept.

Dated 11th October 1900

Despatched 13 — do

Received

General No. 2303

No. 110

Enclosure No. /

In reply to your official Memo
No. 2183/Ex 119 dated 5th ultimo.

SUBJECT.

Submits copy of Communications from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District reporting that he has enforced the Jahagirdar of Mahadevapur Seringapatam Taluk to make arrangements to receive his dues on account of his Toddy revenue.

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

R
15-10-00

Draft 8/16

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore

Dated 27th September 1900.
General No 93

Enclosures No 3.

Sir,

Adverting to your official memorandum No $\frac{3436}{99}$ dated 1st December 1899, forwarding, for report, copy of Secretary's No 3436, dated 29th November 1899 (Petition Registered No 894 dated 26th October 1899) in re the complaint of Balaje Rao, Jahagirdar of Mahadevapur, Seringapatam Taluk, about the non-payment of toddy revenue of his Inam Villages since 94-95, I have the honor to state as follows: —

The

The payment first made to the Jahagirdar viz Rs 1149-6-9 at Rs 574-11-5 and Rs 574-11-4 for the years 92-93 and 93-94 respectively, was in respect of the villages shewn in the margin. While calculating the Jahagirdar's dues for the years 94-95 and 95-96 as per his application, the toddy revenue of Hunagoor and Settaragudi was not taken into account, and in consequence the amount originally advanced to the Jahagirdar was found to have been made in excess of his dues.

Richadevapur,
Hunagoor,
Hunsagalli, and
Settaragudi.

The non-payment of Jahagirdar's dues till now appears to have been caused not only for reasons above stated, but also on account of the arrears of Sirce of these villages not having been fully recovered at the time when the Jahagirdar applied to this office for payment

I beg to state that the error so Committed has now been rectified

gentlemen and the Jahaenders have accordingly been informed to make arrangements to receive his dues

The persons received with the usual credentials of reference is hereunto annexed

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant
(Sd) (M.) Komarensamy Naik.

B J

For Resident Commissioner
Mayaveram

Copy

[signature]
For Assistant Commissioner
&c &c in Mayaveram

Draft S(15) for G.O.

30

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter no. 2303/110 dated 11/13th October 1900 from the Excise Commr in Mysore forwarding copy of the Mysore Deputy Commr's letter no. 93 dated 27th September 1900 reporting that the Sahukar of Mahadevapur, Seringapatam taluk, has been ~~informed~~ asked to make arrangements to receive his dues on account of his toddy revenue.

3368/Ex 164 & 23rd Oct/1900

Order thereon:—

Recorded.

K 19/10/00
for Secretary

KG
18/10/00

To Excise Comr —

Petn No. 166 of 10-7-02

payment of the Shandi Re-
-cune of the previous years
as the creditor Padmaraja
Pandit obtained a decree
for possession of the mort
-gaged Vrittis only so late
as 1901; that the Dy: Coll:
was wrong in admitting the
complaints of the creditor & the
Vrittidars as they had no di
-rect communication with
the revenue authorities in
matters relating to the jaha
-gir; that in Govt: Pro: No.
9486 / R3136 of 27-4-97 it is stated
that a jahagirdar should not
be deprived of the management
of the village & that all differ
-ences between him & the
Vrittidars should be settled
by him; that the thing
mortgaged and decreed in
favour of the creditor is
only the income of the
5 Yajaman Vrittis, which
amounts to 98 Varahas &

the petr. consents to pay; that the allegation of the Vrittidars that their share of the Vritti Amount is not properly distributed, is not true in as much as the Jahagir account shews that in no instance the petr. has withheld their dues.

Prays for orders directing the early payment to petr of the said Shendi revenue.

Encl. Order appealed against

SM
26/7/02

Reference
dtd 26-7-02

ಮಾಲೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಹದೇವಪುರ
ಜಹಗೀರ್ದಾರರಾದ ರಾಮರಾವ್ ಮಗ
ಬಾಲಾಜಿರಾವ್ ಅವರ ಅರ್ಜಿ

(illegible)
29-7-02

Ex 80
27/7/1902

No. 166

Name of Petitioner M. Balaji Rao son of Rama Rao Jahagirdar of Mahadevapur

Village: Fort
Taluk: Mysore
District:

Date of Arzi 10-7-02

Date of Receipt 15-7-02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure One

Submitted

1) Two Rupee stamp affd. (stamp affixed)

2) Dy Comrs order, appeals against, produced.

3) Re-time.

May this be transferred to the Revenue Branch where the reference papers in connection with this are said to be.

31-7-02

Yes 1/8/02.

ABSTRACT

Appeals against the Dy: Comrs Endt: No 812 dd 26-6-02 which runs as follows:—

"you presented a petition on 1-5-02 praying for the payment to you of the Shendi amount of the previous years of the Mahadevapura jahagira. Sarmaraja Pandit son of Vardhamana Pandit of Mysore has petitioned that the said amount should be given to him as 5 Vrittiers

MGCPB—4,000—31-1-02

in the above jahagir have
been mortgaged to him and
are in his enjoyment. The
Vrittidars in the jahagir have
also complained that the amount
should not be paid either to
you or to your father till the
appointment of another manager
as otherwise their share would
not be paid by you. The above
facts were ascertained on per-
sonal enquiry also. For the
above reasons the said pay-
ment cannot be made to
you, until you & the other
Vrittidars get your dispute
settled amicably, in accordance
with Govt. Pro. No. 7486 / R 3136 & dated
27-4-97."

Re 1st States that in the
family division he got the
Umbadevapur jahagir to
his share; That on his
application to the Dy. Comr.
praying for the payment
of the Abkari revenue of
the years 1895-1901, he was
given the above Instr.; that
there is no reason to withhold



By post registered 15-7-02

Two rupee stamp affd

1 enclosure

166

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Bangalore
15-7-1902

The Humble petition of C. Balaji Rao
Son of Rama Rao Jahagirdar of Mahadeva
pur humbly Showeth

That in the family division of property between his father brother and himself the Jahagir of Mahadevapur in Mysore District fell to the share of the petitioner

2. That with reference to the order of Government permitting Inamdars to receive the Abkari Revenue of their respective Inam villages, the petitioner put in an application to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District requesting him to grant the Abkari Revenue of his villages from 1895 to 1901 which is held in deposit by the Government.

3. That one Padmaraj Pandit and certain Vrittidars objected to such payment the former on the ground that five Sayaman Vrittis of the Jahagir had been mortgaged to his father by the petitioner and his father, and the latter on the ground that payments ought to be made to them direct to insure punctuality

4 That thereupon the Deputy Commr withheld payment and gave the petitioner the reply herewith

Submitted

Submitted

5- The petitioner humbly begs the Govt to revise the Deputy Commr's order and to order payment of the amount on the following grounds:

(i) That the said creditor has obtained a decree for possession of the mortgaged Vrittis only so late as January 1901 and in Execution of this decree he has given the usual formal receipt to the Govt. only in May 1900; and that therefore there is no reason to withhold the amount due for previous years.

(ii) That neither the said creditor nor any of the Vrittidars had at any time direct Communication with the ~~Govt~~. Revenue authorities either in respect of the grant of Abkari Revenue or other financial or administrative matters connected with the Jahagir. The Dy. Commr is therefore wrong in admitting their complaint and passing orders on their frivolous allegations.

(iii) That in Proceedings No $\frac{9486}{R.3136}$ of 27th April 1897 the Govt. have ordered that the Jahagirdar cannot be deprived of his management and that all differences between him and the Vrittidars should be settled by the Jahagirdar.

(iv) That what is mortgaged and decreed in favour of the ~~Vrittidars~~ creditor is only 5 Zajaman Vrittis' income viz 98 varahas and he is entitled to receive from the Petitioner this Specific Sum of 98 varahas He has no right whatever to the management of the villages nor of the land attached to them. The right of administration and management of the villages and of temples and temple Vrittis and other Vrittis and all lands vest with the petitioner by right of birth and inheritance and of this right the petitioner cannot be divested.

That the Vrittidars' allegations of the want of proper distribution of their share of Vritti amount is not true as the Jahagir accounts show that in no instance the petitioner has withheld their dues.

For the above reasons the petitioner humbly prays that the Govt. will be pleased to order payment of the Abkari Revenue to the petitioner as early as possible

for which act of justice the petitioner will for ever pray.

M. Balaji Rao.
Jahagirdar
of Allahabad

Mysore
10th July 1902

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ

31

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಲಿಖಿತ ದೂರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸಾರಾಂಶವೇನೆಂದರೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕೂಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 15 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಗಂಡ ಹೆಂಡತಿಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ಜಗಳವಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಸುಮಾರು 1.5.02 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರ ಗಂಡ ಮಾರಿಕಾಂಬ ಜಾತ್ರೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಹೋದವರು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಮನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಅವರ ಗಂಡನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಹುಡುಕಾಡಿದರೂ ಪತ್ತೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರ ಗಂಡ ಕಾಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ಬಂದು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯ ಸಾರಾಂಶದ ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಠಾಣಾ ಗುನ್ನೆ ನಂ. 87/2002 ಕಲಂ 87 ಕೆ.ಪಿ. ಆಕ್ಟ್ ರೀತ್ಯಾ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ತನಿಖೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಆರೋಪಿಯಾದ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಈತನು ಕಳೆದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಖಚಿತ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 1.5.03 ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 2 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸುಮಾರಿಗೆ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಬಸ್ ನಿಲ್ದಾಣದ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ವಶಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯು ತಾನು ಕಳೆದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದಿಂದ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ಕರೆತಂದು ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆರೋಪಿಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾನು ಮಾರಿಕಾಂಬ ಜಾತ್ರೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿ ಹೋದವನು ಜಾತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಕ್ಕ ಸಿಕ್ಕವರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಜಗಳವಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೊಡೆದಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜಾತ್ರೆಯಿಂದ ಓಡಿ ಹೋಗಿ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆಗೆ ಬಂದು ಕೂಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಇದ್ದು ಈಗ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಭಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಆರೋಪಿಯನ್ನು ಮನೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮನೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ಬಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಆರೋಪಿಯು ಮನೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯಗೊಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 27.4.03 ರಂದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸಬ್‌ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಹೊಸಪೇಟೆ

R3136

"Early"

28 H.V.N
10.9.02

Reference card
281 13-9-02
166 of 02 To the Rev Br on 1-8-02.
BL
15-9-02

Transferred to the Revenue Branch in continuation

281
17-9-02

BL
23/9/02

Rec'd 20/10/02 True

Paleru enquiry with no 176-E.712-1902 of 29.9.02 put up

True 22-10-02

Subj the premises petition was disposed by in the Enquiry Branch

22/10.

Rec'd 24/10/02 Revised E.C. Br 24/10/02

REGISTERED GLASS SEP 25 1902 NO DEWAN'S OFFICE

E.95

1902.03 24/10/02

No. 718

Name of Petitioner M. Balaji Rao

Village Jaghirdar of Mahadevapur

~~Taluk~~ } Mysore
District }

Date of Arzi 10.9.02

Date of Receipt 10.9.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his appeal dated 15.9.02 against the Dy Comr's order in re the refusal to pay to the petr the abkari revenue of the Mahadevapur Inam villages. The petr says that if the money is paid to him as usual, he would himself see to the proper distribution among the Vrittidars as per manual

Office MG.PB—4,000—31-1-02

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. No. 718 R
DATE 10-9-1902

Rob
Copy dtd 7/10/02

To
The Chief Secretary
The Court of Mysore
Mysore —

The humble petition
of R. Balaji Rao Sheris
-dar of Nakadevakur
Mysore District
most Respectfully sheweth:

That the Petitioner sub
mitted an appeal on
5.7.02 against the order
of the Dy. Commr Mysore
regarding the payment
of the abkari money of
the Inam Village of Nada
-devapur which has been
in arrears and which
is at the disposal of the
Deputy Commr Mysore and
which was duly
with reference to the allegations of certain Vattu
dars. These Vattudars were
receiving their shares from

11-9-02

718

the petitioner & his ancestors
for the last 60 years by
the laws that the lands
were granted originally
to Sakhi & Devan Ravdas
the ancestor of the petitioner
the agricultural & manage-
ment of the village have
been exercised by petitioner
& his ancestors from a
long time. The Govt has
also passed proceedings
in the year 1960 to the
effect that all differences
disputes should be settled
in the Registrar & if that
fails they should seek re-
-dress in a Court of law.
Under these circumstances
petitioner submits that the
summary orders of
the Dy Commr is illegal
and the petitioner prays
that the same may be
cancelled & orders issued
to ~~make~~ the Dy Commr to
make payment to the
petitioner under the 'Inamdar'
Scheme. The petitioner will
as in duty bound
pray.

(32)

Encl 15 No 176 Encl one
4712-1901
dt 29/9/01

To the Revenue Commr
in Mysore

—

With reference to
the Revenue Commrs letter
(29) no. 2303/110 dt 11/10/00
has the honor to
forward herewith
for favor of an
early report, petition
rec'd no 166/480 dt 10/7/01
from m Balaji Rao
Son of Ramaiah.
Jahagirdar of Nuka
devanpur, Seringapatam
Taluk, ~~Court~~ appealing
against the order
of the Dy. Commr

Mysore Distt, proposed
to pay to him the
lodgy premium of
the said villages
till he settles amic-
cately all his disputes
with the Vaishnavas
as directed in G.O.
No 9486/R3136/97. 27.4.97. ack (15)

B.C.

29/4/97
for Secretary

SC(28)/17 Cell-No

No 47-12-01

Dt 27-10-02 1.34

To Veereie Comr

in Mysore

—

In continuation of this office no 176/47-12-01 dated 29th Septr 02 re. the prayer of one Baleji Rao for the early orderment to him of the alekani perquisite of the Mahadeshwara Swamy village Seringapatana Taluk has the honor to forward herewith another petition no 718/495 & letter received from the said Baleji Rao & to request the favor of an early intimation to him of the report

already
called for from the
Office in the matter

RLL
25/10/02

for Secy.

E-263

OFFICE OF THE [illegible]
Regd. No. 8886 1903
14 FEB. 1903
[illegible]

From Asst Commr & Ex BH
Excise Commissioner
of Mysore

8420

To
H. V. Nadgundayya Esq
now
Secretary to Govt.
General Revenue Dept

Dated 7-2-03
Despatched 11-do
Received

General No. 4588
No. 256
Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with his opinion
the records regarding
relaxing to the Forest
Revenue of Hunasanahalli
Inam village T.
Narasipur Taluk

14.2.03 Under & Dy Secy (Instructions)
Issue
7-6-03

No 1,588 Encl 1 fr

Office of the Excise Comr.
Bangalore 7.2.03
11

From

D. R. Purnaiya Esq B.A
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq M.A.
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depts

Sir

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Hunasanahalli Inam village, T. Narasipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating officer's opinion that the Inamdar is entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy. There is only a toddy shop in the village. Accordingly Excise Revenue is payable to him subject to rules 2, 3, 5, 6 & 12 in Government Proceedings No 2074-83 R.F. 166-9 dated 2nd August 1901

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
for Excise Commissioner



236 12/2/03

1903

FROM The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue
Departments

Dated 9th } February 1903.
Despatched 11 }

Received

General No. 4599
No. 262

Enclosure No. 4

Subject

Submits copy of docket No. 105
from the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district, reporting the
circumstances under which
no Toddy revenue was advanced
to M. Balaji Rao, jahagirdar
of Mahadevapura.

Encl
17.2.03

Filed with Office note
continued as draft

Filed
4-3-03

Excise commissioner's office.
Bangalore. Dated 9th February 1903

No. 4599/262 Encl 4

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 217/R.712-1901, dated 27th october 1902, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of docket No. 105, dated 3rd instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting the circumstances under which no Toddy revenue was advanced to M. Balaji Rao, jahagirdar of Mahadevapura. His two petitions are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

1903

From B. K. Venkata Varada Ayyangar Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To P. N. Purnaiya Esq BA
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 3rd
Despatched } February 1903
Received

General No. 105
No.

Enclosure No.

His official Memorandum
No. 1957/54 of the 6th october 1902 and
subsequent reminder No. 2492/65
of the 28th october 1902, regarding
the disbursement of Toddy
revenue to M. Balaji Rao
Son of Rama Rao, jahagiro
of Mahadevapura.

With reference to the ab
has the honour to State
the above jaghiri consists of
28 Vrittis, of which, 5 belong to the
petitioner as Yajaman Vrithis".
The Vrittidars complained that
Rama Rao, as agent of the jaghiri
was not performing his duties
properly, that accounts were
not rendered to them, that
their shares had been
misappropriated

misappropriated by him, for which several civil decrees had been obtained, and that no Toddy revenue should be paid to him as he had abused their confidence.

2. The jaghirdar has mortgaged the "Yajaman Vrittis" to one Padmaraj Pandit and the latter petitioned the undersigned to the effect that he had obtained a civil decree for the possession of the mortgaged Vrittis, and that consequently no Toddy revenue should be advanced to the petitioner.

3. A notice was served on the parties and the case was heard on the 13th June 1902. The petitioner having failed to disprove the allegations made by other Vrittidars, an endorsement was given him that no money would be advanced to him till he settled his dispute amicably with Vrittidars.

4. The Vrittidars having subsequently appointed the said Padmaraja Pandit as the agent of the Jaghir and applied for the Toddy revenue, payment was made to him.

5. The Enclosures received with the above, are herewith returned

(Signed). B.K. Venkataradaiyangar
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

"Copy"

accepted
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sus. Reg. 37.

To

The Excise Commr
in Mysore.

No 526
4471 of 01
& 15/4/03

Hrs no 4599/262 dated

9/11 February 03 enbg.
copy of a letter from
the Dy Commr, Mysore
Dist, reporting the
circumstances under
which no ~~toddy~~ advance revenue
was ~~advanced~~ made to M.
Balaji Rao, Jahgirdar
of Mahadevapur,
Seringapatam Taluk
on account of toddy revenue

Clear draft for
approval.

With reference to the
above has the honor
to observe that the
petitioner Balaji Rao

Contests the right of Padmaraja pandit to receive the amount as Agent and tries to make out that, as mortgagee, his right is limited. It is not quite clear who appointed P. Pandit as agent and whether his appointment received sanction of the Dy Commr. As the petitioner does not admit the agent's title, it is desirable that an inquiry be made on these points. The Dy Commr may be asked to investigate and report upon the matter early.

By Order

for Secretary

enclosure

705

No Ey 712-01
of 16/6/03 (23)

To Veereesa Conde
in Mysore

His letter no. 4588/256
of 4th Feby 03 subj. the question
of enquiry relative to
the inamdars' claim to
the land revenue of the
Hunasanahalli Sama
Devanvillage. T. Narsipur
Taluk

In returning the
enclosures received
with the above, I
for prof. & pressure

have the honor to invite
attention to G. O. no
638-47--Rt. 166-92 of 28th May
issuing subsidiary
instructions in the matter
& to request that sufficient
long
proof of enjoyment
supported by documents
may be obtained & sent

to Court the amount
amendable for the
year 1902 under
the different heads of
Todies admr should
also be ascertained
& recorded in the
Calendar giving full particulars as directed in para 2 of
the Court order of 28/5/03 referd to
above.

B.C.

14/6/03

Read for same 1901-2.

11/6/03

Sec
7.6.03